

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,185	12/30/2003	Tsuyoshi Kashima	856.0005.U1(US)	9954
29683 7590 12/31/2007 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			LUU, LE HIEN	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	L Ann Branch (2)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/749,185	KASHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Le H. Luu	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet wi	ur the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period by the Office later than three months are the period by the Offi	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> 2/30/03 - 09/03/04</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/03/04</u>. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,185 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-35 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article

21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by

the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Horvitz Pub. No. 2004/0030753.

4. As to claim 1, Horvitz teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for controlling access to an electronic message comprising:

specifying an addressee for an electronic message (page 11, paragraph [0105]);

determining a message context for the electronic message by specifying one or more conditions selected from a group comprising a time condition, a location of the addressee condition and at least one other condition concerning the environment of the addressee, wherein the message context defines conditions that must be satisfied before the addressee of the message can access the message (page 1, paragraph [0009]; page 2, paragraphs [0029-0030]; page 3, paragraph [0038]; page 11, paragraph [0106]);

detecting the context of the addressee and comparing the context of the addressee with the message context (page 7, paragraphs [0077 - 0078]); and

enabling access by the addressee to the electronic message when the detected context of the addressee corresponds to the message context (page 7, paragraphs [0077 - 0078]).

5. As to claims 2-5, Horvitz teaches the group of conditions is augmentable with additional conditions concerning the environment of the addressee; wherein the at least one other condition concerning the environment of the addressee relates to the devices that are proximal to the addressee; wherein the at least one other condition concerning the environment of the addressee relates to the ambient temperature at the addressee; wherein the electronic message additionally includes the context of the originator of the

Art Unit: 2141

message identifying plural conditions concerning the environment of the originator (Fig 4; page 3, paragraphs [0038 - 0039]; page 7, paragraphs [0075 - 0077]; page 11, paragraph [0105]).

- 6. As to claims 6-9, Horvitz teaches the electronic message and the message context are stored at the terminal of the addressee before access to the electronic message is enabled; the step of comparing the context of the addressee with the context of the message occurs at the terminal of the addressee, and the terminal enables the addressee to access the stored message when the context of the addressee corresponds to the context of the stored message; the step of enabling access involves placing the stored electronic message in an Inbox of the terminal; wherein the electronic message is self-addressed (page 12, paragraphs [0118 0120]).
- 7. As to claims 10-14, Horvitz teaches access to the electronic message is enabled by sending the electronic message to the addressee; the step of detecting the context of the addressee occurs at a server remote from the terminal of the addressee using data received from the terminal of the addressee and wherein the step of comparing the context of the addressee with the message context, also occurs at the remote server, which sends the electronic message to the terminal of the addressee when the detected context of the addressee corresponds to the message context; the data received from the terminal of the addressee includes data indicative of the environment of the addressee; the data indicative of the environment of the addressee identifies the

Art Unit: 2141

devices that are proximal to the addressee; the data indicative of the environment of the addressee identifies the ambient temperature at the addressee (Fig 4; page 1, paragraph [0009]; page 3, paragraphs [0038 – 0039]; page 7, paragraphs [0077 - 0078]).

- 8. As to claims 15-17, Horvitz teaches the context of the addressee is detected using inputs from a plurality of sensors for sensing the environment of the addressee; the plurality of sensors includes a proximity sensor; the plurality of sensors includes a temperature sensor (Fig 4; page 1, paragraph [0009]; page 3, paragraphs [0038 0039]).
- 9. Claims 18-35 have similar limitations as claims 1-17; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,185

Art Unit: 2141

Page 6

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER